

## REMARKS

Claims 1-87 were pending when last examined. Claims 1-24 and 41-87 are withdrawn.

### Election / Restrictions

The Office Action dated June 9, 2005 ("the Office Action") requested an election of a single species for prosecution on the merits in case no generic claim is held to be allowable. The Office Action identified eight species:


- 1) Species I: Claims 1-24
- 2) Species II: Claims 25-40
- 3) Species III: Claims 42-48
- 4) Species IV: Claims 49 and 50
- 5) Species V: Claims 51-58
- 6) Species VI: Claims 59-78
- 7) Species VII: Claim 79
- 8) Species VIII: Claims 80-87

Applicants hereby elect Species II, without traverse, to be examined if none of the generic claims is held to be allowable. Please telephone the undersigned attorney at (650) 833-2121 if there are any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP

Dated: 9/9, 2005

By   
Kieun "Jenny" Sung  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 48,639

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY LLP  
Attn: Patent Department  
2000 University Avenue  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Telephone: (650) 833-2121  
Facsimile: (650) 833-2001